

## Homework 3

Deadline: February 12, 2026, 11:59pm ET

## Instructions

- The solutions must be submitted via Canvas.
- You must typeset your solutions. We suggest using LaTeX or Typst.

## Notation

Let  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  be an  $n$ -bit string. For any  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , the notation  $s[i, \dots, j]$  refers to the contiguous substring  $(s_i, \dots, s_j)$ . Let  $0^n$  denote the  $n$ -bit string of all zeros.

## Problems

- (10 points) Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be PRGs. Prove or disprove if  $G(s) = G_1(s) \| G_2(s)$  is also a PRG.
- (20 points) Discuss whether the functions  $G_i$  below are PRGs for all PRGs  $G$  with at least  $\lambda + 1$  bits of stretch i.e., on input a uniformly random  $\lambda$ -bit seed,  $G$  outputs a  $\ell(\lambda)$ -bit pseudorandom string with  $\ell(\lambda) > 2\lambda + 1$ . When  $G_i$  is a PRG, prove it. When  $G_i$  is not a PRG, describe an efficient adversary that successfully attacks the PRG. In each case below,  $G_i$  takes a uniformly random  $\lambda$ -bit seed  $s \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ .

(a)  $G_1(s) := G(s[1, \dots, \lambda - 1]) \| s[\lambda]$ .

(b)  $G_2(s) := G(s) \oplus (0^{\ell(\lambda) - \lambda} \| s)$ .

**Remark.** Recall that  $\lambda$  is a security parameter, not a fixed constant. Thus, when  $G$  is applied to an input of length  $\lambda - 1$ , it should be interpreted as running  $G$  with that input length as its security parameter.

- (20 points) Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be PRGs with at least  $\lambda + 1$  bits of stretch. Define

$$G(s) = G_1(s[1, \dots, \lambda]) \oplus G_2(s[\lambda + 1, \dots, 2\lambda]).$$

Show that if either  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  is a PRG (we may not know which one is secure), then  $G$  is a PRG.

- (20 points) Let  $G$  be a PRG with  $\lambda$  bits of stretch. Define  $H(s)$  as follows: (1) Compute  $r := G(s)$ , and (2) Output  $G(r[1, \dots, \lambda]) \| G(r[\lambda + 1, \dots, 2\lambda])$ . Prove or disprove if  $H$  is a PRG.
- (30 points) Let  $G$  be an efficiently computable function that on input a  $\lambda$ -bit string outputs an  $\ell(\lambda)$ -bit string, where  $\ell(\lambda) > \lambda$ . Let  $\Pi = (\text{KeyGen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be the following encryption scheme for  $\ell(\lambda)$ -bit messages:

- $\text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda) : k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ .
- $\text{Enc}(k, m) : \text{ct} := G(k) \oplus m$ .
- $\text{Dec}(k, \text{ct}) : m := G(k) \oplus \text{ct}$ .

In class, we showed that if  $G$  is a PRG then  $\Pi$  is one-time computationally secure. **Now prove the converse:** If  $\Pi$  is one-time computationally secure, then  $G$  is a PRG.