

## Homework 4

*Deadline: February 26; 2026, 11:59pm ET*

### Instructions

- The solutions must be submitted via Canvas.
- You must typeset your solutions. We suggest using LaTeX or Typst.
- This homework includes a bonus question for practice. It will not be graded, even if submitted.

### Problems

1. (10 points) Prove unconditionally<sup>1</sup> the existence of a PRF family  $\{F_k\}_{k \in \{0,1\}^\lambda}$ , where for each  $k \in \{0,1\}^\lambda$ ,  $F_k : \{0,1\}^{\lceil \log \lambda \rceil} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ .
2. (30 points) Let  $\{F_k : \{0,1\}^\lambda \rightarrow \{0,1\}^\lambda\}_{k \in \{0,1\}^\lambda}$  be a family of PRFs. Discuss whether each  $F'_k : \{0,1\}^{\lambda-1} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{2\lambda}$  defined below is a PRF. If  $F'$  is a PRF, prove it via reduction. When  $F'$  is not a PRF, describe an efficient adversary that successfully attacks the PRF.

(a)  $F'_k(x) := F_k(0\|x)\|F_k(1\|x)$ .

(b)  $F'_k(x) := F_k(0\|x)\|F_k(x\|1)$ .

3. (30 points) Assuming the existence of PRFs, construct an encryption scheme that is multi-message secure but not CPA-secure.

You may design an encryption scheme specifically to fail under CPA attacks; it does not need to be “natural.”

**Hint:** Compared to the multi-message security game, in the CPA game the adversary can obtain encryptions of messages of its choice even *after* seeing the challenge ciphertext. Can you embed extra information in the ciphertext that becomes useful only when the adversary has this additional power?

4. (30 points) Let  $\lambda$  be the security parameter and let  $\ell := \ell(\lambda)$  be a polynomially bounded integer. Let  $\Pi_1 = (\text{Enc}_1, \text{Dec}_1)$  and  $\Pi_2 = (\text{Enc}_2, \text{Dec}_2)$  be two encryption schemes with message space  $\{0,1\}^\ell$ . It is known that at least one among  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  is CPA-secure, but we don't know which one. Show how to construct an encryption scheme  $\Pi$  with message space  $\{0,1\}^\ell$  such that is guaranteed to be CPA-secure as long as at least one of  $\Pi_1$  or  $\Pi_2$  is CPA-secure. Provide a full proof of your solution.

**Bonus Question:** Let  $\Pi = (\text{KGen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be an encryption scheme with key space  $\{\mathcal{K}_\lambda\}_\lambda$  and message space  $\{\mathcal{M}_\lambda\}_\lambda$ .<sup>2</sup> Assume that for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist at least two messages in  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$  and that there exists an efficient algorithm to sample messages uniformly at random from  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ . In this question, you will show that if  $\Pi$  is CPA-secure then it is computationally infeasible for an adversary to make an encryptor generate the same ciphertext twice.

Let  $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_\lambda$  for brevity. We define the following game: The challenger samples  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$  uniformly at random and the adversary makes a series of queries; the  $i$ -th query is a message  $m_i$ , to which the challenger responds with  $c_i \leftarrow \text{Enc}(k, m_i)$ . The adversary wins the game if any two  $c_i$ 's are the same.

Show that if  $\Pi$  is CPA-secure then every non-uniform PPT adversary wins this game with at most negligible probability.

<sup>1</sup>Your proof should *not* rely on any computational assumptions like the existence of a PRG or PRF.

<sup>2</sup> $\{\mathcal{K}_\lambda\}_\lambda$  and  $\{\mathcal{M}_\lambda\}_\lambda$  denote a sequence of sets such that when  $\Pi$  is used with a particular value of  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , the key space is  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda'}$  and the message space is  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda'}$ .