

Homework 6

Deadline: March 12, 2026, 11:59pm ET

Instructions

- The solutions must be submitted via Canvas.
- You must typeset your solutions. We suggest using LaTeX or Typst.

Problems

1. Recall that the “Textbook RSA” encryption scheme we saw in class is not a secure public-key encryption scheme. In this problem, you will prove CPA-security of a public-key encryption scheme based on RSA. Specifically, let $\text{GenRSA}(1^\lambda) \rightarrow (p, q)$ be a PPT algorithm that takes the security parameter as input and outputs distinct odd primes p and q . The RSA assumption is said to hold with respect to GenRSA if for all non-uniform PPT adversaries \mathcal{A} , there exists a negligible function $\text{negl}(\cdot)$ such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Pr \left[\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}(N, e, x^e \bmod N) = x : \\ \begin{array}{l} (p, q) \leftarrow \text{GenRSA}(1^\lambda) \\ N := pq \\ x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^\times \\ e \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\varphi(N)}^\times \end{array} \end{array} \right] \leq \text{negl}(\lambda),$$

where $\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$.

We saw in class that $\left\{ f_{N,e}(x) := x^e \bmod N : (p, q) \leftarrow \text{GenRSA}(1^\lambda), N := pq, e \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\varphi(N)}^\times \right\}$ is a OWP from $\mathbb{Z}_N^\times \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_N^\times$ and that $\text{hc}(x) := \text{Least-Significant-Bit}(x)$ is a hard-core predicate for f .

Consider the encryption algorithm Enc for 1-bit messages: $\text{Enc}(\text{pk}, m)$ first parses $\text{pk} = (N, e)$. It then samples $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^\times$ and outputs the ciphertext $\text{ct} := (f_{N,e}(r), \text{hc}(r) \oplus m)$.

- (a) (5 points) Construct appropriate $\text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda)$ and $\text{Dec}(\text{sk}, \text{ct})$ algorithms to complete the public-key encryption scheme $\Pi = (\text{KeyGen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$.
 - (b) (5 points) Prove that Π is correct, i.e., that decryption recovers the plaintext.
 - (c) (10 points) Using the fact that $f_{N,e}$ is a OWP and hc is a hard-core predicate for f , prove that Π is CPA-secure.
2. (20 points) Let $G : \{0, 1\}^\lambda \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2\lambda}$ be a secure length-doubling PRG and write $G(s) = G_0(s) \| G_1(s)$, where $G_0(s), G_1(s) \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ for all $s \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$. Consider the GGM construction applied to *variable-length inputs*. That is, let $\ell := \ell(\lambda)$ be a polynomially bounded integer. Define $F_k : \{0, 1\}^{\leq \ell} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ as

$$F_k(x) = G_{x_n}(G_{x_{n-1}}(\dots G_{x_1}(k))),$$

where $x = x_1 \| \dots \| x_{n-1} \| x_n$ are the bits of x and $\{0, 1\}^{\leq \ell} = \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{0, 1\}^i$.

Is F a secure PRF? Prove your answer.

3. (30 points) An efficiently-computable¹ family of functions $\{\text{hc}_\lambda : \{0, 1\}^\lambda \rightarrow \{0, 1\}\}_\lambda$ is called a *universal* hard-core predicate if for every one-way function f , hc is a hard-core predicate for f .

Prove that there is no universal hardcore predicate.

Hint: Assume for the sake of contradiction that a universal hard-core predicate hc exists. Consider an arbitrary OWF f . Since hc is universal, it is a hard-core predicate for f . Can you construct a OWF g using f and hc such that hc cannot be the hard-core predicate for g ? Make sure to argue both that g is a OWF and that hc is not a hard-core predicate for g .

4. (30 points) In class, we saw that if OWFs exist, then PRGs can be constructed from them. In this problem, you will prove the *converse*: if PRGs exist then OWFs exist. In fact, you will show that a PRG is already a OWF.

Specifically, let $G : \{0, 1\}^\lambda \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\lambda+1}$ be a PRG. Prove that G is a OWF.

Hint: Consider the image of G , i.e., $\{G(s) : s \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{\lambda+1}$. What fraction of $\{0, 1\}^{\lambda+1}$ does this set occupy? How does this help you when analyzing the behavior of the distinguisher you construct in your reduction on a uniformly random string versus a string in the image of G ?

¹Here efficiently-computable means that each function hc_λ can be computed in time polynomial in λ .